arXiv:1402.7171v1 [math.FA] 28 Feb 2014

ON q-STATE p-ADIC POTTS MODEL ON A CAYLEY TREE

O. N. KHAKIMOV

Abstract. This work is devoted to description of all translation-invariant p-adic Gibbs measures (TIpGMs) for the q-state Potts model on a Cayley tree of order k. In particular, for the Cayley tree of order three we give exact number of such measures. Moreover we give criterion of boundedness of TIpGMs

Key words. p-adic number, p-adic Potts model, Cayley tree, p-adic Gibbs measure.

1. introduction

The p-adic numbers were first introduced by the German mathematician K.Hensel. They form an integral part of number theory, algebraic geometry, representation theory and other branches of modern mathematics. However, numerous applications of these numbers to theoretical physics have been proposed [2] to quantum mechanics and to p-adic valued physical observable [8]. A number of p-adic models in physics cannot be described using ordinary probability theory based on the Kolmogorov axioms.

In [12] a theory of stochastic processes with values in p-adic and more general non-Archimedean fields was developed, having probability distributions with non-Archimedean values.

One of the basic branches of mathematics lying at the base of the theory of statistical mechanics is the theory of probability and stochastic processes. Since the theories of probability and stochastic processes in a non-Archimedean setting have been introduced, it is natural to study problems of statistical mechanics in the context of the p-adic theory of probability.

We note that p-adic Gibbs measures were studied for several p-adic models of statistical mechanics [3, 4, 6, 7], [13–20].

The Potts model is one of the most studied models in statistical mechanics, since this model is related to a number of outstanding problems in statistical and mathematical physics, and graph theory [5]. Originally, the Potts model was introduced as a generalization of the Ising model to more than two spin values. It is known that [13] there exist phase transition for the q-state p-adic Potts model on the Cayley tree of order k if and only if $q \in p\mathbb{N}$. In [19] it was fully investigated translation-invariant p-adic Gibbs measures for the q-state Potts model on a Cayley tree of order two. In this paper, for some conditions to k and prime number p, we shall fully describe the set of TIpGMs for the q-state Potts model on a Cayley tree of order k. Moreover, we shall show that there occurs a phase transition for the p-adic Potts model on a Cayley tree of order three.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. **p-adic numbers and measures.** Let $\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the field of p-adic numbers with norm $|\cdot|_p$. Recall that $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a non-Archimedean (ultra-metric) space, i.e. its norm satisfies the strong triangle inequality. We often use the following property (p-adic norm's property):

$$|x \pm y|_p = \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}, \qquad \text{if } \ |x|_p \neq |y|_p.$$

It is known [9, 21] that any p-adic number $x \neq 0$ can be uniquely represented in the canonical form

$$x = p^{\gamma(x)}(x_0 + x_1 p + x_2 p^2 + \dots), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the integers x_j satisfy: $x_0 > 0$, $0 \leq x_j \leq p-1$.

We recall that $Z_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \ |x|_p \leq 1\}$ and $Z_p^* = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \ |x|_p = 1\}$ are the set of all p-adic integers and units of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, respectively. A number $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$ is called a k-residue modulo p if there exists a number $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $x^k \equiv a (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$. Otherwise, a is called a k-nonresidue modulo p.

Theorem 1. *[21] The equation $x^2 = a$, $0 \neq a = p^{\gamma(a)}(a_0 + a_1 p + ...), 0 \leq a_j \leq p-1$, $a_0 > 0$ has a solution $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ iff hold true the following:*
(a) $\gamma(a)$ is even;
(b) $y^2 = a_0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$ is solvable for $p \neq 2$; the equality $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ holds if $p = 2$.

Theorem 2. *[1] Let $k > 2$ is a integer number and $(k, p) = 1$. The equation $x^k = a$ with $a \neq 0$ has a solution $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ if and only if*
(a) k divides $\gamma(a)$, and,
(b) a_0 is a k-residue modulo p.

Theorem 3. *[11] Let $k = mp$ and $(m, p) = 1$. The equation $x^k = a$ with $a \neq 0$ has a solution $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ if and only if*
(a) k divides $\gamma(a)$, and,
(b) a_0 is a m-residue modulo p and $a_0^p \equiv a_0 + a_1 p (\mathrm{mod}\, p^2)$.

Lemma 1. *(Hensel's lemma, [21]) Let $f(x)$ be polynomial whose the coefficients are p-adic integers. Let a_0 be a p-adic integer such that for some $i \geq 0$ we have*

$$f(a_0) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p^{2i+1}),$$
$$f'(a_0) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p^i), \qquad f'(a_0) \not\equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p^{i+1}).$$

Then $f(x)$ has a unique p-adic integer root a which satisfies $a \equiv a_0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$.

Denote

$$\mathcal{E}_p = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* : |x-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)} \right\}.$$

A more detailed description of p-adic calculus and p-adic mathematical physics can be found in [9, 21].

Let $(X, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable space, where $\mathcal{B}$ is an algebra of subsets of X. A function $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ is said to be a p-adic measure if for any $A_1, ..., A_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$,

$i \neq j$, the following holds:

$$\mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(A_j).$$

A p-adic measure is called a probability measure if $\mu(X) = 1$. A p-adic probability measure μ is called *bounded* if $\sup\{|\mu(A)|_p : A \in \mathcal{B}\} < \infty$ (see, [8]).

We call a p-adic measure a probability measure [4] if $\mu(X) = 1$.

2.2. **Cayley tree.** The Cayley tree Γ^k of order $k \geq 1$ is an infinite tree, i.e., a graph without cycles, such that exactly $k+1$ edges originate from each vertex. Let $\Gamma^k = (V, L)$ where V is the set of vertices and L the set of edges. Two vertices x and y are called *nearest neighbors* if there exists an edge $l \in L$ connecting them. We shall use the notation $l = \langle x, y\rangle$. A collection of nearest neighbor pairs $\langle x, x_1\rangle, \langle x_1, x_2\rangle, ..., \langle x_{d-1}, y\rangle$ is called a *path* from x to y. The distance $d(x, y)$ on the Cayley tree is the number of edges of the shortest path from x to y.

For a fixed $x^0 \in V$, called the root, we set

$$W_n = \{x \in V \mid d(x, x^0) = n\}, \qquad V_n = \bigcup_{m=0}^{n} W_m$$

and denote

$$S(x) = \{y \in W_{n+1} : d(x, y) = 1\}, \quad x \in W_n,$$

the set of *direct successors* of x.

Let G_k be a free product of $k+1$ cyclic groups of the second order with generators $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k+1}$, respectively. It is known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of vertices V of the Cayley tree Γ^k and the group G_k.

2.3. **p-adic Potts model.** Let $\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the field of p-adic numbers and Φ be a finite set. A configuration σ on V is then defined as a function $x \in V \to \sigma(x) \in \Phi$; in a similar fashion one defines a configuration σ_n and $\sigma^{(n)}$ on V_n and W_n respectively. The set of all configurations on V (resp. V_n, W_n) coincides with $\Omega = \Phi^V$ (resp.$\Omega_{V_n} = \Phi^{V_n}$, $\Omega_{W_n} = \Phi^{W_n}$). Using this, for given configurations $\sigma_{n-1} \in \Omega_{V_{n-1}}$ and $\sigma^{(n)} \in \Omega_{W_n}$ we define their concatenations by

$$(\sigma_{n-1} \vee \sigma^{(n)})(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{n-1}(x), & \text{if } x \in V_{n-1}, \\ \sigma^{(n)}(x), & \text{if } x \in W_n. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_{n-1} \vee \sigma^{(n)} \in \Omega_{V_n}$.

Let G_k^* be a subgroup of the group G_k. A function h_x (for example, a configuration $\sigma(x)$) of $x \in G_k$ is called G_k^*-periodic if $h_{yx} = h_x$ (resp. $\sigma(yx) = \sigma(x)$) for any $x \in G_k$ and $y \in G_k^*$.

A G_k-periodic function is called *translation-invariant*.

We consider *p-adic Potts model* on a Cayley tree, where the spin takes values in the set $\Phi := \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$, and is assigned to the vertices of the tree.

The (formal) Hamiltonian of p-adic Potts model is

$$H(\sigma) = J \sum_{\langle x,y\rangle \in L} \delta_{\sigma(x)\sigma(y)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $J \in B(0, p^{-1/(p-1)})$ is a coupling constant, $\langle x, y\rangle$ stands for nearest neighbor vertices and δ_{ij} is the Kroneker's symbol:

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

2.4. **p-adic Gibbs measure.** Define a finite-dimensional distribution of a p-adic probability measure μ in the volume V_n as

$$\mu^{(n)}_{\tilde{h}}(\sigma_n) = Z^{-1}_{n,\tilde{h}} \exp_p \left\{ H_n(\sigma_n) + \sum_{x \in W_n} \tilde{h}_{\sigma(x),x} \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $Z_{n,\tilde{h}}$ is the normalizing factor, $\{\tilde{h}_x = (\tilde{h}_{1,x}, \dots, \tilde{h}_{q,x}) \in \mathbb{Q}^q_p, x \in V\}$ is a collection of vectors and $H_n(\sigma_n)$ is the restriction of Hamiltonian on V_n.

We say that the p-adic probability distributions (2.3) are compatible if for all $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma_{n-1} \in \Phi^{V_{n-1}}$:

$$\sum_{\omega_n \in \Phi^{W_n}} \mu^{(n)}_{\tilde{h}}(\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n) = \mu^{(n-1)}_{\tilde{h}}(\sigma_{n-1}). \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n$ is the concatenation of the configurations.

We note that an analog of the Kolmogorov extension theorem for distributions can be proved for p-adic distributions given by (2.3) (see [4]). So, if for some function $\tilde{h}$ the measures $\mu^{(n)}_{\tilde{h}}$ satisfy the compatibility condition (2.4), then there is a unique p-adic probability measure, which we denote by $\mu_{\tilde{h}}$, since it depends on $\tilde{h}$. Such a measure is called a *p-adic Gibbs measure* (pGM) corresponding to the Hamiltonian (2.2) and vector-valued function $\tilde{h}_x, x \in V$. The set of all p-adic Gibbs measures associated with functions $\tilde{h} = \{\tilde{h}_x,\ x \in V\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(H)$. If there are at least two distinct p-adic Gibbs measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{G}(H)$ such that μ is bounded and ν is unbounded, then it is said that *a phase transition occurs*. By another words, one can find two different functions s and h defined on V such that there exist the corresponding measures μ_s and μ_h, for which one is bounded, another one is unbounded. Moreover, if there is a sequence of sets $\{A_n\}$ such that $A_n \in \Omega_{V_n}$ with $|\mu(A_n)|_p \to 0$ and $|\nu(A_n)|_p \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then we say that there occurs a *strong phase transition* [16, 17].

The following statement describes conditions on $\tilde{h}_x$ guaranteeing compatibility of $\mu^{(n)}_{\tilde{h}}(\sigma_n)$.

Theorem 4. *(see [13, p.89]) The p-adic probability distributions $\mu_n(\sigma_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, in (2.3) are compatible for Potts model iff for any $x \in V \setminus \{x^0\}$ the following equation holds:*

$$h_x = \sum_{y \in S(x)} F(h_y, \theta), \tag{2.5}$$

where $F: h=(h_1,\dots,h_{q-1})\in \mathbb{Q}_p^{q-1}\to F(h,\theta)=(F_1,\dots,F_{q-1})\in \mathbb{Q}_p^{q-1}$ *is defined as*

$$F_i=\log_p\left(\frac{(\theta-1)\exp_p(h_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\exp_p(h_j)+1}{\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\exp_p(h_j)}\right),$$

$\theta=\exp_p(J)$, $S(x)$ *is the set of direct successors of* x *and* $h_x=(h_{1,x},\dots,h_{q-1,x})$ *with*

$$h_{i,x}=\tilde{h}_{i,x}-\tilde{h}_{q,x},\quad i=1,\dots,q-1. \tag{2.6}$$

From Theorem 4 it follows that for any $h=\{h_x,\ \ x\in V\}$ satisfying (2.5) there exists a unique pGM μ_h for the p-adic Potts model.

Theorem 5. *[13] If* $q\notin p\mathbb{N}$ *then there exists a unique* p*-adic Gibbs measure* μ_0 *for the* p*-adic Potts model (2.2) on a Cayley tree of order* k*. Moreover, a measure* μ_0 *is translation-invariant.*

3. translation-invariant p-adic gibbs measures for the potts model.

In this section, we consider p-adic Gibbs measures which are translation-invariant, i.e., we assume $h_x=h=(h_1,\dots,h_{q-1})\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{q-1}$ for all $x\in V$. Then from equation (2.5) we get $h=kF(h,\theta)$, i.e.,

$$h_i=k\log_p\left(\frac{(\theta-1)\exp_p(h_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\exp_p(h_j)+1}{\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\exp_p(h_j)}\right),\quad i=1,\dots,q-1. \tag{3.1}$$

Denoting $z_i=\exp_p(h_i), i=1,\dots,q-1$, we get from (3.1)

$$z_i=\left(\frac{(\theta-1)z_i+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}z_j+1}{\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}z_j}\right)^k,\quad i=1,\dots,q-1. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that for a solution $z=(z_1,...,z_{q-1})$ of the system of equations (3.2) there exists a unique TIpGMs for the Potts model on the Cayley tree of order k if and only if $z\in\mathcal{E}_p^{q-1}$.

For some $m=1,\dots,q-1$ we shall consider the following equation

$$z=f_m(z)\equiv\left(\frac{(\theta+m-1)z+q-m}{mz+q-m-1+\theta}\right)^k \tag{3.3}$$

Theorem 6. *Let* $M\subset\{1,\dots,q-1\}$ *and* $|M|=m$*. If* z^* *is a solution to the equation (3.3), then* $z=(z_1,\dots,z_{q-1})$ *is a solution to (3.2), where*

$$z_i=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } i\notin M\\ z^*, & \text{if } i\in M.\end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $z_i=1$ is a solution of i th equation of the system (3.2) for each $i=1,2,\dots,q-1$. Consequently, $z=(1,\dots,1)\in\mathcal{E}_p^{q-1}$ is a solution to (3.2). Assume that $M\neq\emptyset$. Without loss of generality we can take $M=\{1,2,\dots,m\}$, $m\le q-1$. Put $z_i=z$, $i\in M$ and $z_i=1$, $i\notin M$ from (3.2) we get (3.3). As z^* is a solution to (3.3) we get $z=(\underbrace{z^*,\dots,z^*}_{m},1\dots,1)$ is a solution to (3.2). □

Now one can ask for what kind of k and prime number p any solution $z=(z_1,\dots,z_{q-1})$ to (3.2) has the form (3.4). In [19] it was proven that if $k=2$ than for any prime number p any solution $z\in\mathcal{E}_p^{q-1}$ to (3.2) has the form (3.4). In this work we shall consider case $k>2$.

Theorem 7. *If $(k^2-k)/2$ is not divisible by p then any solution $z\in\mathcal{E}_p^{q-1}$ to the equation (3.2) has the form (3.4).*

Proof. For a given $M\subset\{1,\dots,q-1\}$ one can take $z_i=1$ for any $i\notin M$. Let $\emptyset\neq M\subset\{1,\dots,q-1\}$, without loss of generality we can take $M=\{1,2,\dots,m\}$, $m\leq q-1$, i.e. $z_i=1$, $i=m+1,\dots,q$. Now we shall prove that $z_1=z_2=\cdots=z_m$.

Let $p>2$. Since $(k^2-k,p)=1$ we get $(k,p)=1$. As $z_i\in\mathcal{E}_p$, $i=1,\dots,q-1$ then by Theorem 2 there exists $\sqrt[k]{z_i}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Denote $\sqrt[k]{z_i}=x_i$, $i=1,\dots,m$. Then from (3.2) we have

$$x_i=\frac{(\theta-1)x_i^k+\sum_{j=1}^m x_j^k+q-m}{\sum_{j=1}^m x_j^k+q-m-1+\theta},\quad i=1,\dots,m. \tag{3.5}$$

By assumption $x_i\neq 1, i=1,2,...,m$ from (3.5) we get

$$\theta-1=\frac{(x_i-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^m x_j^k+q-m\right)}{x_i^k-x_i}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^m x_j^k+q-m}{x_i(x_i^{k-2}+x_i^{k-3}+\cdots+1)},\quad i=1,\dots,m.$$

From these equations we get

$$x_i(x_i^{k-2}+x_i^{k-3}+\cdots+1)=x_j(x_j^{k-2}+x_j^{k-3}+\cdots+1),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(x_i-x_j)\sum_{n=0}^{k-2}\sum_{s=0}^{n}x_i^{n-s}x_j^s=0 \tag{3.6}$$

As $x_i,x_j\in\mathcal{E}_p$ and $(k^2-k,p)=1$ we have

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{k-2}\sum_{s=0}^{n}x_i^{n-s}x_j^s\right|_p=\left|k^2-k\right|_p=1.$$

Hence, $x_i=x_j$ for any $i,j\in\{1,\dots,m\}$.

Let $p=2$. Since $k^2-k\not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ we get $k=4n+2$ or $k=4n+3$. It is clear that $(k,2)=1$ if $k=4n+3$. In this case by Theorem 2 there exists $\sqrt[k]{z_i}$, $i=1,\dots,m$ in $\mathbb{Q}_2$. If $k=4n+2$ then by Theorem 3 there exists $\sqrt[k]{z_i}$. Denote $x_i=\sqrt[k]{z_i}$. Note that $|x_i-1|_2\leq\frac{1}{2}$. By p-adic norm's property we obtain

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{k-2}\sum_{s=0}^{n}x_i^{n-s}x_j^s\right|_p=\left|\frac{k^2-k}{2}\right|_p=1.$$

From (3.6) we get $x_i=x_j$ for any $i,j\in\{1,\dots,m\}$. □

By this theorem we have that any TIpGM of the Potts model corresponds to a solution of the (3.3).

Remark 1. *Note that the condition* $(\frac{k^2-k}{2}, p) = 1$ *is a sufficient to any solution of the equation (3.2) has the form (3.4). In [19] it was shown that if* $k = p = 3$ *then the equation (3.2) has a solution* $z \in \mathcal{E}_3^{q-1}$ *which is not represented in the form (3.4).*

Let $M \subset \{1, \dots, q-1\}$, with $|M| = m$. Then corresponding solution of (3.3) we denote by $z(M) = \exp_p(h(M))$. It is clear that $h(M) = h(m)$, i.e. it only depends on cardinality of M. Put

$$\mathbf{1}_M = (e_1, \dots, e_q), \quad \text{with} \quad e_i = 1 \ \text{ if } \ i \in M, \quad e_i = 0 \ \text{ if } \ i \notin M.$$

We denote by $\mu_{h(M)\mathbf{1}_M}$ the TIpGMs corresponding to the solution $h(M)$.
The following proposition is useful.

Proposition 1. *For any finite* $\Lambda \subset V$ *and any* $\sigma_\Lambda \in \{1, \dots, q\}^\Lambda$ *we have*

$$\mu_{h(M)\mathbf{1}_M}(\sigma_\Lambda) = \mu_{h(M^c)\mathbf{1}_{M^c}}(\sigma_\Lambda), \tag{3.7}$$

where $M^c = \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus M$ *and* $h(M^c) = -h(M)$.

Proof is similar to the proof of the Proposition 1 in [10]. The following is a corollary of Theorem 7 and Proposition 1.

Corollary 1. *Let* $\frac{k^2-k}{2} \not\equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$. *Then each TIpGMs corresponds to a solution of (3.3) with some* $m \le [q/2]$, *where* $[a]$ *is the integer part of* a. *Moreover, for a given* $m \le [q/2]$, *a fixed solution to (3.3) generates* $\binom{q}{m}$ *vectors* $\tilde{h}$ *giving* $\binom{q}{m}$ *TIpGMs.*

3.1. **Case** $k = 3$**.** In this section we consider the following

$$f_m(z) = \left(\frac{(\theta + m - 1)z + q - m}{mz + q - m - 1 + \theta} \right)^3$$

From $\frac{f_m(z)-z}{z-1} = 0$ we get

$$z^3 - Az^2 - Bz + C = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \tfrac{-3m^2(q-m)+3m(\theta-1)^2+(\theta-1)^3}{m^3}, \\ B &= \tfrac{-3m(q-m)^2+3(q-m)(\theta-1)^2+(\theta-1)^3}{m^3}, \\ C &= \tfrac{(q-m)^3}{m^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Note that $z = 1$ is a solution to the equation (3.8) if and only if $\theta = 1-q$ or $\theta = 1+q/2$. Moreover, if $\theta = 1 - q$ then the equation (3.8) has three solutions $z_1 = z_2 = 1$ and $z_3 = \left(\frac{m-q}{m}\right)^3$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Note that $z_3 \neq 1$ as $m < q$. Recall that there exists a p-adic Gibbs measure μ corresponding to the vector $(\underbrace{z_3, \dots, z_3}_{m}, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{q-1}$ if and only if z_3 belongs to $\mathcal{E}_p$.

Proposition 2. *Let $\theta = 1-q$.*
A) Then $z_3 \in \mathcal{E}_p$ if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied
(A.1) $p=2$ and $|q|_2 < |2m|_2$;
(A.2) $p \neq 2$ and $|q|_p < |m|_p$;
(A.3) $p \neq 2$, $|q|_p = |m|_p$ and $x^2+x+1 \equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, p)$, where $x = 1-q/m$;
B) Otherwise, $z_3 \notin \mathcal{E}_p$.

Proof. We must check $|z_3-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ which is equivalent to

$$\left|\frac{q}{m}\left(2-\frac{q}{m}+\left(1-\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right)\right|_p < \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \text{if } \ p=2 \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{q}{m}\left(2-\frac{q}{m}+\left(1-\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right)\right|_p < 1, \qquad \text{if } \ p\neq 2 \tag{3.11}$$

Let $p=2$. It is easy to see that $|z_3-1|_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ if $|q|_2 < |2m|$. If $|q|_2 \geq |2m|_2$ from (3.10) we get

$$\left|\frac{q}{m}\left(2-\frac{q}{m}+\left(1-\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right)\right|_p \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This means that $z_3 \notin \mathcal{E}_2$.

Let $p \neq 2$. If $|q|_p < |m|_p$ then by p-adic norm's property we have (3.11). One can see that (3.11) is not satisfied if $|q|_p > |m|_p$. Assume that $|q|_p = |m|_p$. Denote $x = 1-q/m$. We have

$$\left|\frac{q}{m}\left(2-\frac{q}{m}+\left(1-\frac{q}{m}\right)^2\right)\right|_p = \left|1+x+x^2\right|_p$$

Consequently, in this case the condition (3.11) is satisfied if and only if $x^2+x+1 \equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, p)$. □

By Proposition 2 and Corollary 1 we get the following

Theorem 8. *Let $\theta = 1-q$. Then $|\mathcal{G}(H)| \geq 1+\binom{q}{m}$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied*
a) $p=2$ and $|q|_2 < |2m|_2$;
b) $p \neq 2$ and $|q|_p < |m|_p$;
c) $p \neq 2$, $|q|_p = |m|_p$ and $x^2+x+1 \equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, p)$, where $x = 1-q/m$.

Now we shall consider the case $\theta = 1+q/2$. Dividing the equation (3.8) to $z-1$ we obtain

$$8m^3z^2 - \left(16m^3 - 24m^2q + 6mq^2 + q^3\right)z - 8(q-m)^3 = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Denote $D = q^2+12mq-12m^2$ and $f(z)$ is a quadratic function (3.12). Note that $D \neq 0$. So, the equation (3.12) has two distinct solutions z_2 and z_3 in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ if $\sqrt{D}$ exists. It easy to see that $z_2 = 1$ and $z_3 = -1$ are solutions of (3.12) if $q = 2m$. One can see that $z_3 \notin \mathcal{E}_p$. We assume that $q \neq 2m$ to find a solution of (3.12) belongs to $\mathcal{E}_p \setminus \{1\}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $\theta = 1 + q/2$. Then it holds the following statements:*
A) The equation (3.12) has two solutions in $\mathcal{E}_p$ if $|m|_p > |q|_p$ and $\sqrt{-3}$ exists in $\mathbb{Q}_p$;
B) The equation (3.12) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied
(B.1) $p = 2$ and $\left|\frac{q}{2m} - 1\right|_p < \frac{1}{4}$;
(B.2) $p = 3$ and $|m|_p \le |q|_p$;
(B.3) $p > 3$, $|m|_p = |q|_p$ and $|q - 2m|_p < |q|_p$;
C) Otherwise the equation (3.12) has no solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$.

Proof. **Case** $|m|_p < |q|_p$. If $p \neq 3$ then by p-adic norm's property one finds

$$|f(1)|_p = \left|9q^2(q-2m)\right|_p = \left|q^3\right|_p > 0.$$

This means that if $p \neq 3$ than (3.12) has no solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$.

Let $p = 3$. From $f'(1) = 24m^2q - 6mq^2 - q^3$ we get $|f'(1)|_p = \left|q^3\right|_p$. Thus, we show that for the function $q^{-3}f(z)$ all conditions of Hensel's lemma are satisfied. By Hensel's lemma the equation (3.12) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{E}_3$.

Case $|m|_p > |q|_p$. In this case we get $z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ if $\sqrt{D}$ exists. At first, we assume that $p = 2$. Then we have

$$D = 16m^2\left(1 + 2\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\right), \text{ where } \alpha = \frac{q}{2m} - 1.$$

Note that $|\alpha|_2 \le 1$. Then by Theorem 1 a number $\sqrt{D}$ exists in Q_2 iff $|\alpha|_2 < \frac{1}{4}$. Assume that $|\alpha|_2 < \frac{1}{4}$. We obtain

$$z_2 \cdot z_3 = \left(\frac{m-q}{m}\right)^3 = (-1-2\alpha)^3 = 1 + 2 + 4 + \cdots \tag{3.13}$$

Hence, one can find $z_2 \notin \mathcal{E}_2$ or $z_3 \notin \mathcal{E}_2$. Assume that $z_2 \notin \mathcal{E}_2$, i.e. $z_2 \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$. Then from (3.13) we have $z_3 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, which is equivalent to $z_3 \in \mathcal{E}_2$.

Let $p \neq 2$. Then we have

$$D = 4m^2\left(-3 + 6\beta + \beta^2\right), \text{ where } \beta = \frac{q}{2m}.$$

Then by Theorem 1 existence of $\sqrt{D}$ is equivalent to the existence of $\sqrt{-3}$. Assume that $\sqrt{-3} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then the equation (3.12) has two solutions z_2 and z_3 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$. We get the followings

$$z_2 + z_3 \equiv 2 (\mathrm{mod}\, p) \qquad \text{and} \qquad z_2 \cdot z_3 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, p).$$

Hence, we obtain $z_i^2 + 1 \equiv 2z_i (\mathrm{mod}\, p), i = 2, 3$ which is equivalent to $z_i \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$. This means $z_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{E}_p$.

Case $|m|_p = |q|_p$. Assume that $|q-m|_p < |q|_p$. Note that this inequality automatically executed when $p = 2$. By p-adic norm's property we have

$$|f(1)|_p = \left|9q^2(q-2m)\right|_p = \left|q^3\right|_p > 0, \text{ if } p \neq 3.$$

Hence, we conclude that (3.12) has no solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$ for $p \neq 3$. Note that if $|q-m|_3 < |q|_3$ then by Hensel's lemma the equation (3.12) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{E}_3$.

Let $|q-2m|_p < |q|_p$. In this case we have $\left|q^{-3}f(1)\right|_p < 1$ and $\left|q^{-3}f'(1)\right|_p = 1$. Then by Hensel's lemma (3.12) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$.

Let $|q-m|_p = |q-2m|_p = |q|_p$. Since $|q|_p = |m|_p$ we get $p > 3$. Then we have $|f(1)|_p = \left|q^3\right|_p > 0$. This means that (3.12) has no solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$. □

By Proposition 3 and Corollary 1 we get the following

Theorem 9. *Let $\theta = 1 + q/2$. Then $|\mathcal{G}(H)| \geq 1 + \binom{q}{m}$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied*
a) $p = 2$ and $\left|\frac{q}{2m} - 1\right|_p < \frac{1}{4}$;
b) $p = 3$ and $|m|_p \leq |q|_p$;
c) $p > 3$, $|m|_p = |q|_p$ and $|q-2m|_p < |q|_p$;
d) $|m|_p > |q|_p$ and $\sqrt{-3}$ exists in $\mathbb{Q}_p$;

Now we assume that $\theta \notin \{1-q, 1+q/2\}$ and consider the following equation

$$x^3 + \alpha x + \beta = 0, \tag{3.14}$$

which is obtained after the change of variable $z = x + A/3$. Here

$$\alpha = -\left(B + \frac{A^2}{3}\right), \qquad \beta = -\frac{2}{27}A^3 - \frac{AB}{3} + C. \tag{3.15}$$

Note that if $|\theta-1|_p \neq |q|_p$ and $|m|_p < \max\{|\theta-1|_p, |q|_p\}$ then the equation (3.8) has no solution in $\mathcal{E}_p$. So, we assume that $|m|_p > \max\{|\theta-1|_p, |q|_p\}$ to find nontrivial p-adic Gibbs measures. Under this condition, by p-adic norm's property from (3.9) and (3.15) one finds $|\alpha|_p = \left(\frac{|\theta-1|_p}{|m|_p}\right)^2$ and $|\beta|_p = \left(\frac{|\theta-1|_p}{|m|_p}\right)^3$ if $p > 3$.

Lemma 2. *Let $q \in p\mathbb{N}$ and $|m|_p > \max\{|\theta-1|_p, |q|_p\}$. Then a solution z of (3.8) belongs to $\mathcal{E}_p$ iff the corresponding solution $x = z - A/3$ of (3.14) belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \mathbb{Z}_p^*$.*

Proof. Since $|m|_p > \max\{|\theta-1|_p, |q|_p\}$ we obtain

$$\left|\frac{A}{3} - 1\right|_p = \frac{\left|(\theta-1)^3 + 3(\theta-1)^2 m - 3m^2 q\right|_p}{\left|3m^3\right|_p} \leq \frac{\max\{\left|(\theta-1)^2\right|_p, |mq|_p\}}{\left|m^2\right|_p} < 1.$$

Hence, by p-adic norm's property it hold true the following inequality

$$|z-1|_p = \left|x + \frac{A}{3} - 1\right|_p < 1$$

if and only if $|x|_p < 1$. □

Proposition 4. *Let $p > 3$ and $\theta \notin \{1-q, 1+q/2\}$. Then for a given $m = 1, 2, \ldots, [q/2]$ the equation (3.14) has three solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ if $\max\left\{\left|\frac{\theta-1}{m}\right|_p, \left|\frac{q}{m}\right|_p\right\} \leq p^{-3}$.*

Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [17]. By Lemma 2 and Proposition 4 we get the following

Theorem 10. *Let $p>3$ and $\theta\notin\{1-q,1+q/2\}$. If there exists $m=1,2,\dots,[q/2]$ such that $\max\left\{\left|\frac{\theta-1}{m}\right|_p,\left|\frac{q}{m}\right|_p\right\}\le p^{-3}$ then there exist at last $1+3\binom{q}{m}$ TIpGMs for the p-adic Potts model on a Cayley tree of order three.*

4. BOUNDEDNESS OF TRANSLATION-INVARIANT p-ADIC GIBBS MEASURES

Now we shall study the problem of boundedness of translation-invariant p-adic Gibbs measures. Note that if $q\notin p\mathbb{N}$ then by Theorem 5 there exists only one translation-invariant p-adic Gibbs measure μ_0. In [13] it have been proven that p-adic Gibbs measure μ_0 is bounded if and only if $q\notin p\mathbb{N}$. Assume that $m\in\{1,2,...,[q/2]\}$ and $z(m)\in\mathcal{E}_p\setminus 1$ is a solution to the equation (3.3). We shall show that corresponding p-adic Gibbs measure $\mu_{h(m)}$ is not bounded. Since

$$\left|\mu^{(n)}_{h(m)}(\sigma)\right|_p=\frac{\left|\exp_p\left(H_n(\sigma)+\sum_{x\in W_n}h(m)\mathbf{1}(\sigma(x)\le m)\right)\right|_p}{\left|Z_{n,h(m)}\right|_p}=\frac{1}{\left|Z_{n,h(m)}\right|_p}$$

We shall show that

$$\left|Z_{n,h(m)}\right|_p\to 0,\qquad n\to\infty.$$

For the normalizing constant we have the following recurrence formula [13]

$$Z_{n+1,h}=A_{n,h}Z_{n,h},\qquad \text{where}\ \ A_{n,h}=\prod_{x\in W_n}a_h(x). \tag{4.1}$$

For the solution $z(m)\in\mathcal{E}_p\setminus\{1\}$ to the equation (3.3) we have

$$a_{h(m)}(x)=(m(z(m)-1)+q+\theta-1)^k,\qquad \text{where}\ \ z(m)=\exp_p(h(m)).$$

Then by (4.1) we get

$$Z_{n,h(m)}=(m(z(m)-1)+q+\theta-1)^{k|V_{n-1}|}.$$

From this considering $|z(m)-1|_p<1,\ |\theta-1|_p<1$ and $q\in p\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left|Z_{n,h(m)}\right|_p<p^{-k|V_{n-1}|}.$$

Hence,

$$\left|Z_{n,h(m)}\right|_p\to 0,\qquad n\to\infty.$$

Thus, we have proved the following

Theorem 11. *A translation-invariant p-adic Gibbs measure for the Potts model on the Cayley tree of order k is bounded if and only if $q\notin p\mathbb{N}$.*

References

[1] Casas J.M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A., *Solvability criteria for the equation $x^q = a$ in the field of p-adic numbers*, To appear in Bul. Malai. Math. Sci. (Arxiv 1102.2156v1).

[2] Freund P. G. O., Olson M., *Non-Archimedean Strings*, Phys. Lett. **199**, (1987), 186–190.

[3] Gandolfo D., Rozikov U. A., Ruiz J., *On p-adic Gibbs Measures for Hard Core Model on a Cayley Tree*, Markov Process. Related Fields, **18**, (2012) 701–720.

[4] Ganikhodjaev N.N., Mukhamedov F.M., Rozikov U.A., *Phase Transitions in the Ising Model on Z over the p-adic Number Field*, Uzb. Mat. Zh., No. 4, (1998), 23–29.

[5] Ganikhodjaev N.N., Rozikov U.A., *The Potts model model with countable set of spin values on a Cayley tree*, Lett. Math. Phys., **75**(2), (2006), 99–109.

[6] Khakimov O. N., *p-Adic Gibbs measures for the model of Hard Spheres with three states on the Cayley tree*, Theor. Math. Phys. **177**(1) (2013), 1339–1351.

[7] Khamrayev M., Mukhamedov F., Rozikov U., *On the uniqueness of Gibbs measures for p-adic nonhomogeneous λ-model on the Cayley tree*, Lett. Math. Phys. **70** (2004), 17-28.

[8] Khrennikov A. Yu., *p-Adic quantum mechanics with p-adic valued functions*, J.Math.Phys. **32**, (1991), 932–936.

[9] Koblitz N., *p-Adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions*, (Springer, Berlin, 1977).

[10] Külske C., Rozikov U. A., Khakimov R. M., *Description of all translation-invariant (splitting) Gibbs measures for the Potts model on a Cayley tree*, Arxiv 1310.6220.

[11] Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A., *Classification of p-adic 6-dimensional filiform Leibniz algebras by solutions of $x^q = a$*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., **11**(6), (2013), 1083–1093.

[12] Ludkovsky S., Khrennikov A. Yu., *Stochastic Processes on Non-Archimedean Spaces with Values in Non-Archimedean Fields*, Markov Processes Relat. Fields, **9**, (2003), 131–162.

[13] Mukhamedov F. M., Rozikov U. A., *On Gibbs measures of p-adic Potts model on the Cayley tree*, Indag. Math. **15**(1) (2004), 85–100.

[14] Mukhamedov F.M., Rozikov U.A., *On Inhomogeneous p-adic Potts Model on a Cayley Tree*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **8**, (2005), 277–290.

[15] Mukhamedov F.M. *On the Existence of Generalized Gibbs Measures for the One-Dimensional p-adic Countable State Potts Model*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **265** (2009), 165–176.

[16] Mukhamedov F.M. *On dynamical systems and phase transitions for $q + 1$-state p-adic Potts model on the Cayley tree*. Math.Phys.Anal.Geom., **16** (2013), 49–87.

[17] Mukhamedov F.M., Akin H., *Phase transitions for the p-adic Potts model on the Cayley tree of order three*, J. Stat. Mech., (2013), P07014.

[18] Rozikov U. A., Khakimov O. N., *p-Adic Gibbs measures and Markov random fields on countable graphs*, Theor. Math. Phys. **175**(1) (2013), 518-525.

[19] Rozikov U.A., Khakimov O.N., *Description of all translation-invariant p-adic Gibbs measures for the Potts model on a Cayley tree*, Arxiv 1402.6150.

[20] Rozikov U.A., *Gibbs Measures on Cayley Trees*, World Sci. Publ. Singapore. (2013), 404 pp.

[21] Vladimirov V.S., Volovich I. V., Zelenov E. V., *p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, (World Sci., Singapore, 1994).

O.N. Khakimov, Institute of mathematics, 29, Do'rmon Yo'li str., 100125, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

E-mail address: hakimovo@mail.ru